

Unusual Kinetic Isotope Effects of Deuterium Reinforced Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids in Tocopherol-Mediated Free Radical Chain Oxidations

Connor R. Lamberson,[†] Libin Xu,[†] Hubert Muchalski,[†] J. Rafael Montenegro-Burke,[†] Vadim V. Shmanai,^{||} Andrei V. Bekish,^{\perp} John A. McLean,[†] Catherine F. Clarke,[‡] Mikhail S. Shchepinov,^{*,§} and Ned A. Porter^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Vanderbilt Institute of Chemical Biology, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tennessee 37235, United States

[‡]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry and the Molecular Biology Institute, UCLA, 607 Charles E. Young Drive East, Los Angeles, California 90095, United States

[§]Retrotope Inc., 12133 Foothill Lane, Los Altos Hills, California 94022, United States

Institute of Physical Organic Chemistry, National Academy of Sciences of Belarus, Minsk 220072, Belarus

¹Department of Chemistry, Belarusian State University, Minsk 220030, Belarus

(5) Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Substitution of $-CD_2-$ at the reactive centers of linoleic and linolenic acids reduces the rate of abstraction of D by a tocopheryl radical by as much as 36-fold, compared to the abstraction of H from a corresponding $-CH_2-$ center. This H atom transfer reaction is the rate-determining step in the *tocopherol-mediated peroxidation* of lipids in human low-density lipoproteins, a process that has been linked to coronary artery disease. The unanticipated large kinetic isotope effects reported here for the tocopherol-mediated oxidation of linoleic and linolenic acids and esters suggests that tunneling makes this process favorable.

T he free radical oxidation of polyunsaturated fatty acids and sterols has attracted attention in recent years since lipid peroxidation is often associated with a variety of human pathologies. The formation of oxidized lipid products is frequently found to accompany heart disease, ^{1,2} environmental exposures,³ and neurodegenerative disorders,^{4,5} to cite a few examples. Natural antioxidants such as α -tocopherol (α -TOH) are excellent inhibitors of the free radical chain oxidation reaction in solution,⁶ but antioxidant therapies for many diseases, while promising in cell culture or even in animal models, have generally been disappointing in clinical studies.⁷

In free radical oxidation in the absence of antioxidants, the chain reaction propagates by the rate-limiting hydrogen atom transfer (Scheme 1, eq 1) and diffusion-controlled oxygen addition to the resulting carbon radical (eq 2). When tocopherol is used as an antioxidant in solution it serves as an H-atom donor to chain-carrying peroxyl radicals, generating a tocopheryl radical (α -TO[•]) in the process (see Scheme 1, eq 3). The tocopheryl radical so generated normally traps a second peroxyl radical, terminating the chain sequence (Scheme 1, eq 4). The tocopheryl radical can maintain the chain sequence, however, by abstracting an H atom from lipid substrates if the

Scheme 1. Peroxidation, Inhibition, and Tocopherol-Mediated Transformations

$$LOO' + L-H \xrightarrow{k_p} LOOH + L^{\bullet}$$
(1)

$$L + O_2 \longrightarrow LOO$$
 (2)

LOO' +
$$HO$$
 K_{inh} LOOH + O R (3)

LOO' +
$$\alpha$$
-TO' $\xrightarrow{K_t}$ non-radical products (4)

$$L-H + \frac{k_{TMP}}{O} \stackrel{k_{TMP}}{\longrightarrow} L' + \frac{HO}{O} \stackrel{K}{\longrightarrow} R$$
 (5)

rate of initiation is low and the concentration of tocopherol is high.⁸ This reaction is slightly favored thermodynamically with the bond dissociation enthalpy of the phenolic O–H being 77.1 kcal/mol and the bis-allylic C–H being 76.4 kcal/mol.^{9,10} This process, first recognized by Stocker, Ingold, and Bowry,^{8,11,12} was designated as *tocopherol-mediated peroxidation* or *TMP* and is shown as eq 5 in Scheme 1.

The *bis*-allylic methylene group of polyunsaturated lipids is particularly vulnerable to radical attack,^{13–15} and a recent strategy to diminish lipid peroxidation *in vivo* is based on deuterium substitution at the reactive center.¹⁶ Incubation of yeast with small amounts of 11,11-D₂ linoleic acid (11,11-D₂-**Lin**), for example, increases the resistance of yeast to oxidative stress,¹⁷ and the same isotopically reinforced fatty acid diminishes neurodegeneration in a mouse model of Parkinson's disease.¹⁸

Received: October 15, 2013 Published: December 31, 2013



We recently reported that, in the absence of any antioxidants, the propagation rate constant for the oxidation of $11,11-D_2$ -**Lin**, Scheme 1 eq 1, was some 10-fold less than the propagation constant of the natural fatty acid.¹⁹ This isotope effect is outside of the range of $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ (<7) that has been reported for other H(D) atom transfers from carbon to peroxyl radicals,^{20,21} and it stimulated us to broaden the study of isotope effects in C–H free radical transfer reactions. We report here the results of studies of the tocopherol-mediated oxidations of several lipid substrates.

Linoleic acid (Lin), α -linolenic acid (α -Ln), and deuterated derivatives of these fatty acids were subjected to azo-initiated free radical autoxidation in the presence of 0.05 to 0.5 M α -tocopherol. The fatty acid concentration was 0.64 M, and the initiator was 0.005 M MeOAMVN in benzene at 37 °C. Under these conditions of oxidation in the range of tocopherol concentrations used, a relatively simple mixture of *trans,cis* conjugated diene products was formed.^{22–24} For linoleic acid oxidation under these conditions, the *trans,cis* conjugated 9- and 13-hydroperoxyoctadecadienoates (HPODEs), were formed in equal amounts; see Scheme 2. The hydroperoxides were reduced to the corresponding hydroxyoctadecadienoates (HODE) before analysis.

Scheme 2. Mechanism for Product Formation of Lin Tocopherol-Mediated Peroxidation



Analysis of the 9 and 13 *trans,cis* HODEs formed from the oxidation of mixtures of linoleic acid and 11,11- D_2 -Lin was carried out by mass spectrometry. Analyses were from at least triplicate runs, and each run was analyzed in duplicate. Mass analyses of the HODE products that differ by m/z = 1 Da was carried out by the collection of product fractions and a full MS analysis. HPLC/MS and typical MS spectra along with fragmentation information are presented in the Supporting Information. Since linoleic acid is significantly more reactive than the deuterated analog, 11,11- D_2 -Lin was used in excesses of up to 10-fold the amount of linoleic acid present in the oxidation mixtures.

The results of these analyses gave $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ of 23.0 \pm 2.3 for oxidations of linoleic vs 11,11-**D**₂-**Lin** in the presence of 0.5 M α -tocopherol at 37 °C. Linoleic acid bearing one deuterium and one hydrogen atom at C11, 11-**D**₁**Lin** (1.5%), was present in the D₂ substrate as a byproduct of its synthesis, and the value of $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D} = 23.0$ for 11,11-**D**₂-**Lin** should therefore be considered a minimum value. Oxidation of synthetic 11-**D**₁-**Lin** in the presence of 0.5 M α -tocopherol at 37 °C gave a value of $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ = 8.9 \pm 0.2. This intramolecular KIE is due to abstraction of either an H or D from a –CHD–, and it represents a different competition than abstraction of an H from –CHH– vs a D from –CDD– as is the case for the intermolecular competition of Lin vs 11,11-D₂-Lin.

α-Linolenic acid was oxidized in the presence of αtocopherol to give four conjugated diene hydroperoxides with OOH substitution at C-9, -12, -13, and -16. Abstraction of the C-11 hydrogen leads to the 9 and 13 hydroperoxides, while C-14 abstraction gives the 12 and 16 products. The ratio of C-11 to C-14 abstraction was found to be 1.05 to 1.00 by product analysis in co-oxidations of **αLn**, and α-tocopherol. A comparison of the four conjugated diene products formed from the oxidation of mixtures of α-linolenic acid and 11,11,14,14-**D**₄-**αLn** with 0.5 M α-tocopherol at 37 °C gave $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ of 32.3 ± 3.2. These values of $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ determined for **H**₄/ **D**₄-**αLn** were based on the analysis of products that differ by m/z = 3 Da, minimizing any MS corrections needed.

Figure 1 presents UV (235 nm) chromatograms from oxidations of three deuterated α -linolenic acids carried out in



Figure 1. Representative normal phase HPLC/UV (235 nm) analysis of products of 11,11- D_2 - α Ln, 14,14- D_2 - α Ln, and 11- D_1 - α Ln. Oxidations were carried out in the presence of 0.5 M α -tocopherol at 37 °C in benzene with MeOAMVN initiator and were followed by reduction of the hydroperoxides to alcohols with PPh₃.

the presence of 0.5 M α -tocopherol. Oxidation of 14,14-D₂ gave primarily those products derived from abstraction of hydrogens at C11 while 11,11-D₂- α Ln gave products derived from abstraction at C14 as the major products. 11-D₁- α Ln gave products from either D or H abstraction at C11 that equaled 47% of the products formed by abstraction of one of the two H's at C14.

Analysis of the product distribution from these experiments, after normalizing to the 1.05:1.00 preference for C-11 to C-14 abstraction in α Ln (see Supporting Information) leads to a $k_{\rm H}$ /

 $k_{\rm D}$ of 35.9 ± 3.6 for 14,14-D₂- α Ln while the value determined for 11,11-D₂- α Ln is 36.1 ± 3.6. Again these values are minimum values for the isotope effect determined by HPLC/ UV since ~1.5% of mono-D compound was present in both the 11,11- and 14,14-D₂ compounds. Correction for the contribution of the mono-D compound to the product mixture gives $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ values about 10% higher than those reported above. Oxidation of the methyl ester of 11,11-D₂- α Ln under similar conditions as the free acid oxidations gave $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ values comparable to those of the free acid (see Supporting Information).

Co-oxidation of the deuterated α Ln acids in the presence of 0.05 M α -tocopherol gave $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ values lower than those determined with 0.5 M α -tocopherol. Thus, $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ drops to 31 with 0.05 M antioxidant from 35.9 (0.5 M tocopherol) for the oxidation of 14,14- D_2 - α Ln while the corresponding drop of $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ for 11,11- D_2 - α Ln is from 36.1 to 30.9. The concentration dependence is likely the result of the competition between TMP and the peroxyl radical chain reaction, as we have reported a much smaller KIE value for the latter. This observation is supported by previous studies by Bowry and Stocker, who suggested the following: "In strongly inhibited lipid peroxidations with high concentrations of α -tocopherol and with low rates of initiation, α -TO[•] becomes the main propagating species because the α -TO[•] terminating reaction (Scheme 1, eq 4) is suppressed by the α -TO[•] forming reaction (Scheme 1, eq 3)."8

Previous studies of kinetic isotope effects (KIE) for several free radical H(D) atom transfers have reported $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D} > 7$, exceeding values expected based on differences in ground-state vibrational energies. The self-exchange transfer of H or D from hydroxylamines to nitroxides, for example, has been reported to occur with a $k_{\rm H}/k_{\rm D}$ of 20 to 25, and a theoretical treatment of this reaction indicates significant tunneling in the transfer reaction.²⁵ Isotope effects for H(D) atom transfers from carbon to phthalimide N-oxyl radicals are reported to be as high as $27,^{26-28}$ also indicating that tunneling likely is important in this process. A common element in these reactions with large KIE values appears to be a stabilized and persistent oxygen-centered radical. Tunneling and proton-coupled-electron transfer (PCET) in H(D) atom transfers has also been suggested to play an important role in the soybean lipoxygenase promoted oxidation of linoleic acid, a reaction in which H(D) transfer occurs to an Fe³⁺-OH cofactor.^{29,30} Indeed, PCET has been associated with many enzyme-promoted as well as nonenzymatic transformations that display high H(D) kinetic isotope effects.³¹

The large isotope effects reported here for fatty acid autoxidation in the presence of α -tocopherol do not have precedent in solution autoxidations, and they are observed (KIE ~30) only when the comparison is between centers having geminal substitution of hydrogen (-CH₂-) vs deuterium (-CD₂-) at the reactive carbon. This geminal effect is significantly attenuated (KIE ~8-10) when the competition is between the transfer of H or D from the same carbon as in the case of 11-D₁-Lin.

Tocopherol-mediated peroxidation has been suggested to play an important role in the oxidative modification of human low-density lipoproteins (LDL). Cholesterol linoleate is the major lipid present in the core of LDL particles, which also have appreciable levels of α -tocopherol and provide a favorable setting for *TMP*. If a tocopheryl radical is generated in an LDL particle, termination occurs only when another radical is encountered within the particle. This serves to extend the radical lifetime and makes *TMP* an important pathway for LDL oxidative modification.

The kinetics of *TMP* has been the subject of several publications, and its importance in the peroxidation of human LDL and its role in human health have been extensively debated.³²⁻³⁴ The effect of isotopic substitution on the rate of tocopherol-mediated linoleate peroxidation suggests that H-tunneling plays a significant role in this reaction and therefore also in the oxidative modification of LDL.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

1D and 2D NMR spectra, HPLC-MS data, and mass spectra of all the compounds are included in the Supporting Information. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

n.porter@vanderbilt.edu

misha@retrotope.com

Notes

The authors declare the following competing financial interest(s): M. S. Shchepinov declares a competing financial interest as the Chief Scientific Officer of Retrotope, Inc. The other authors declare no competing financial interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation to NAP (NSF CHE-1057500).

REFERENCES

(1) Berliner, J. A.; Leitinger, N.; Tsimikas, S. J. Lipid Res. 2009, 50, S207.

- (2) Greenberg, M. E.; Li, X. M.; Guqui, B. G.; Qin, J.; Salomon, R. G.; Hazen, S. L. J. Biol. Chem. 2008, 283, 2385.
- (3) Murphy, R. C.; Johnson, K. M. J. Biol. Chem. 2008, 283, 15521.
- (4) Zhu, X.; Tang, X.; Anderson, V.; Sayre, L. Chem. Res. Toxicol. 2009, 22, 1386.
- (5) Montine, T.; Peskind, E.; Quinn, J.; Wilson, A.; Montine, K.; Galasko, D. *NeuroMolecular Med.* **2011**, *13*, 37.
- (6) Burton, G. W.; Ingold, K. U. Acc. Chem. Res. 1986, 19, 194.
- (7) Kamat, C. D.; Gadal, S.; Mhatre, M.; Williamson, K. S.; Pye, Q.
- N.; Hensley, K. J. Alzheimers Dis. 2008, 15, 473.
- (8) Bowry, V. W.; Stocker, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1993, 115, 6029.
- (9) Pratt, D. A.; Mills, J. H.; Porter, N. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 5801.
- (10) Trenwith, A. B. J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 1 1982, 78, 3131.
- (11) Bowry, V. W.; Ingold, K. U. Acc. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 27.
- (12) Ingold, K. U.; Bowry, V. W.; Stocker, R.; Walling, C. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1993, 90, 45.
- (13) Porter, N. A. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 3511.
- (14) Yin, H.; Xu, L.; Porter, N. A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 5944.
- (15) Pratt, D.; Tallman, K.; Porter, N. A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 458.
- (16) Shchepinov, M. S. Rejuvenation Res. 2007, 10, 47.
- (17) Hill, S.; Hirano, K.; Shmanai, V.; Marbois, B.; Vidovic, D.; Bekish, A.; Kay, B.; Tse, V.; Fine, J.; Clarke, C. F.; Shchepinov, M. S. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **2011**, *50*, 130.

(18) Shchepinov, M. S.; Chou, V. P.; Pollock, E.; Langston, J. W.; Cantor, C. R.; Molinari, R. J.; Manning-Bog, A. B. *Toxicol. Lett.* **2011**, 207, 97.

(19) Hill, S.; Lamberson, C. R.; Xu, L.; To, R.; Tsui, H. S.; Shmanai, V. V.; Bekish, A. V.; Awad, A. M.; Marbois, B. N.; Cantor, C. R.;

Porter, N. A.; Clarke, C. F.; Shchepinov, M. S. Free Radical Biol. Med. 2012, 53, 893.

- (20) Russell, G. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1957, 79, 3871.
- (21) Howard, J.; Ingold, K. Can. J. Chem. 1966, 44, 1119.
- (22) Roschek, B.; Tallman, K. A.; Rector, C. L.; Gillmore, J. G.; Pratt, D. A.; Punta, C.; Porter, N. A. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 3527.
- (23) Tallman, K. A.; Pratt, D. A.; Porter, N. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 11827.
- (24) Tallman, K. A.; Rector, C. L.; Porter, N. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5635.
- (25) Wu, A.; Mader, E.; Datta, A.; Hrovat, D.; Borden, W.; Mayer, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2009**, 131, 11985.
- (26) Koshino, N.; Cai, Y.; Espenson, J. H. J. Phys. Chem. A 2003, 107, 4262.
- (27) Koshino, N.; Saha, B.; Espenson, J. H. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 9364.
- (28) Amorati, R.; Lucarini, M.; Mugnaini, V.; Pedulli, G. F.; Minisci, F.; Recupero, F.; Fontana, F.; Astolfi, P.; Greci, L. J. Org. Chem. 2003,
- 68, 1747. (29) Knapp, M. J.; Rickert, K.; Klinman, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002,
- (29) Knapp, M. J.; Rickert, K.; Klinman, J. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 3865.
- (30) Klinman, J. P. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. B 2006, 361, 1323.
- (31) Weinberg, D. R.; Gagliardi, C. J.; Hull, J. F.; Murphy, C. F.; Kent, C. A.; Westlake, B. C.; Paul, A.; Ess, D. H.; McCafferty, D. G.; Mever, T. J. Chem. Rev. **2012**, *112*, 4016.
- (32) Upston, J. M.; Terentis, A. C.; Morris, K.; Keaney, J. F., Jr.; Stocker, R. *Biochem. J.* **2002**, 363, 753.
- (33) Kathir, K.; Dennis, J. M.; Croft, K. D.; Mori, T. A.; Lau, A. K.; Adams, M. R.; Stocker, R. *Free Radical Biol. Med.* **2010**, *49*, 481.
- (34) Thomas, S. R.; Stocker, R. Free Radical Biol. Med. 2000, 28, 1795.